

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF KERN
ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION (ADR)
INFORMATION PACKET



Kern County Superior Court encourages, and under certain circumstances, may require parties to try ADR before trial. Courts have also found ADR to be beneficial when used early in the case process. The courts, community organizations and private providers offer a variety of ADR processes to help people resolve disputes without a trial. Below is information about the potential advantages and disadvantages of ADR, the most common types of ADR, and how to find a local arbitrator, mediator or neutral evaluator. You may find more information about these ADR processes at www.courts.ca.gov/programs/adr.

Possible Advantages and Disadvantages of ADR

ADR may have a variety of advantages or disadvantages over a trial depending on the type of ADR process used as well as the particular type of case involved.

Possible Advantages: Saves time; saves money; gives the parties more control over the dispute resolution process and outcome; helps to preserve and/or improve party relationships.

Possible Disadvantages: May add additional time and costs to the litigation if ADR does not resolve the dispute; procedures such as discovery, jury trial, appeals, and other legal protections may be limited or unavailable.

Most Common Types of ADR

Mediation: A neutral person or “mediator” helps the parties communicate in an effective and constructive manner so the parties can try to resolve their dispute. The mediator does not decide the outcome, but helps the parties to do so. Mediation is generally confidential and may be particularly useful where ongoing relationships are involved, such as between family members, neighbors, employers/employees or business partners.

Settlement Conferences: A judge or another neutral person assigned by the court helps the parties to understand the strengths and weaknesses of their case and to discuss settlement. The judge or settlement conference neutral does not make a decision in the case but helps the parties to negotiate a settlement. Settlement conferences may be particularly helpful when the parties have very different views about the likely outcome of a trial in their case.

Neutral Evaluation: The parties briefly and informally present their facts and arguments to a neutral person who is often an expert in the subject matter of the dispute. The neutral does not decide the outcome of the dispute, but helps the parties to do so by providing them with a non-binding opinion about the strengths, weaknesses and likely outcome of their case. Depending on the neutral evaluation process, and with the parties’ consent, the neutral may then help the parties try to negotiate a settlement. Neutral evaluation may be appropriate when the parties desire a neutral’s opinion about how the case might be resolved at trial; and, if the primary dispute is about the amount of damages or technical issues, the parties would like a neutral expert to resolve those disputes.

Arbitration: The parties present evidence and arguments to a neutral person or “arbitrator” who then decides the outcome of the dispute. Arbitration is less formal than a trial, and the rules of evidence are generally more relaxed. If the parties agree to *binding* arbitration, they waive their right to a jury trial and agree to accept the arbitrator’s decision. With *nonbinding* arbitration, any party may reject the arbitrator’s decision and request a trial. Arbitration may be appropriate when the parties want another person to decide the outcome of their dispute but would like to avoid the formality, time and expense of a trial, or desire an expert in the subject matter of their dispute to make a decision.

Local Court ADR Programs

The Superior Court, County of Kern offers two types of ADR: Arbitration in cases in which the amount in controversy as to each plaintiff is \$50,000 or less; and DRPA mediation services on the day of the hearing, settlement conference or trial.

Arbitration: The Superior Court of California, County of Kern does use Arbitrators in civil cases where the amount in controversy as to each individual plaintiff is \$50,000 or less. The Court may order the parties to Arbitration or the parties may agree to Arbitration any time before the first case management conference statement is filed.

See Local Rule 3.14 at www.kern.courts.ca.gov/local_rules_of_court.

Dispute Resolution Program Act (DRPA): The Superior Court of California, County of Kern also offers mediation services in small claims and unlawful detainer, civil harassment, family law and probate matters. The Court has contracted with the Better Business Bureau (BBB) under the Dispute Resolution Programs Act (DRPA) to provide these mediation services. For more information about BBB Mediation Services go to <http://go.bbb.org/ccie-mediation>.

ADR Coordinator:

Although complaints about arbitrators and mediators are rare, the Superior Court of California, County of Kern does provide a complaint procedure in our Local Rules, Rule 3.14.7. If you have a complaint or a concern with any of this Court’s ADR programs, or simply have a question about ADR, please contact the ADR Administrator at ADRAdministrator@kern.courts.ca.gov or 661-868-5695.

Resources:

California Department of Consumer Affairs: www.dca.ca.gov/consumer/mediation_guides

Judicial Branch California Courts – ADR: www.courts.ca.gov/selfhelp-adr.htm

ADR Stipulation Form: www.kern.courts.ca.gov/documents/stipulation_and_order_form